

Who Do They Really Serve?

by cyd Tuesday, Jan 15 2019, 8:55pm

international / prose / post

"[You] Might like to wear cotton, might like to wear silk
Might like to drink whiskey, might like to drink milk
You might like to eat caviar, you might like to eat bread
You may be sleeping on the floor, sleeping in a king-sized bed
But you're gonna have to serve somebody, yes
... you're gonna have to serve somebody... " -- Bob Dylan

The penny finally dropped on why we have no representation in the Western World.

I was watching a Senate committee formed to investigate tax avoidance by large corporations in Australia. Needless to say it was a joke and went no-where as the parties being investigated run the USA and the western world. However, on the surface it appeared that government -- that is, OUR supposed representatives (that is a bigger joke) were attempting to restore fair taxation to Australia and so alleviate the massive burden placed on average people and those that cannot afford or have the means to utilise tax avoidance havens throughout the world.

As this 'investigation' occurred some time ago I do not remember the specific company executive/CEO being questioned about utilising tax havens and juggling profits in order to avoid appropriate taxation in Australia, though his answer was essentially the same as the other executives of mega-corporations, to paraphrase, "we have broken no laws and it is the prerogative of all people and companies to minimize their tax obligation, [full stop.]" That response neutered the investigation, as indeed it is government or LAW MAKERS that created the laws that allow a hollowing out of our taxation system -- so chew on that you useless, unrepresentative, castrated, Australian politicians.

The committee had been neutered as it was they that were RESPONSIBLE according to the correct view of the company executive -- in other words, in not so veiled terms, the exec told the Senators to go take a flying leap as the responsibility was THEIRS alone to ensure fair and just taxation for the nation.

However, another congressional committee, this time in the US, was investigating agencies and law enforcement bodies and I managed to catch the NSA chief being questioned, his response was something else, he flatly refused to answer questions to legitimate representatives of the American people, outrageous!

Now that was truly eye-opening. He clearly avoided a direct answer and without citing the excuse, he indirectly implied 'national security' concerns though he said he would answer in a private session away from the public's eye. Now, isn't that interesting? What is national security if not protecting the interests of the people, which were and are threatened by government agencies and other law enforcement bodies such as the FBI, Homeland Security, etc?

The response also begs the question, what is a 'nation? Well, we should all be able to answer that, a nation is nothing more than its people and an inert landmass, and so it's the people that become the

primary consideration of "national security" and yet the chief of the NSA decided that the people/nation should not be informed, that's a good one! So the obvious next question is, if the NSA and other agencies do not view/serve the people as the nation, THEN WHO DO THEY SERVE instead? Are 'we the people' now considered enemies of the State, pan-surveillance would seem to confirm that we indeed are considered threats. So who is it that requires protection from the people? Well, clearly the same mysterious (not really) entities these agencies serve, as the PEOPLE/nation are clearly not to be trusted with 'delicate' information. Now note that the committee was formed to investigate unconstitutional privacy breaches/invasions by law enforcement and agencies, whose practices are clearly in breach of the Constitution, which FACT implies that the real 'shadow' rulers, require protection AND do not subscribe to American traditional, core values.

I have to say at this point that corporate executives handled themselves much better than American directors of security agencies, but then what would we expect from bureaucrats, if they had any real talent they would be in the private sector; however, it benefits the public that they are indeed hopeless as we get to learn what is really going on in secret and that our nations no longer belong to the people/MAJORITY but to mysterious minority interests that clearly FEAR the masses as the NSA has the 'goods' on everyone but considers the people/nation a threat to be illegally spied on.

So we have established by inductive analysis that a non-US, as in not subscribing to core American values, is served by 'our' agencies -- "We The People," mean nothing to these entities and THEIR bureaucratic servants. Notwithstanding that former FBI head James Comey did not trust or obey the president of the USA and considered him a threat/enemy.

Okay, without labouring the point we should all know who these minority banker/corporate ruling elites, which view the PEOPLE as a threat and rightly so, are, as they are in essence treasonous criminals that have no regard for the Constitution or LAWS of the land but do have at THEIR disposal numerous agencies, politicians, media, and others wishing to advance their careers by treasonously serving un-American, un-democratic entities that may temporarily have control over the means of government but are not able to harness or effectively control all of the PEOPLE all of the time, which indeed are grounds for fear.

If they view us as the enemy then clearly THEY are the enemies of the PEOPLE, as if we didn't know, I merely offered another route to the obvious, 'deep state,' and unrepresentative 'shadow government' that have hijacked **OUR** NATIONS.

Have a nice day PEOPLE, and be sure to inform me when you're tired of getting screwed and treated like shit.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/50932.htm>

Inverse Times Open Publishing. <http://inversetimes.lingama.net/news/story-438.html>