

Dictatorships and Enlightened Centralist (Permanent) Governments Work

by lex Monday, Dec 3 2018, 10:02pm

international / prose / post

China vs America -- and guess who is winning?

A recent [article](#) comparing the clearly failing American democratic model to the immensely 'successful' (needs qualification) Chinese traditional dictatorship model was published on a popular alternative media aggregation site (of all places) recently.

The linked article caught my attention but not for obvious reasons, I am not a consumer of text, I am a textual analyst so I am never captured by rhetorical pieces on any layer of discourse, from primary down to the subliminal, I have been inoculated so to speak by my specialist training and expertise, from which resulting observations and deductions I now freely share with readers.

Indeed, the writer/author is correct in his basic deductions that 'pseudo democracy' doesn't work, it's a 'shemozzle' of short term powerful special interests, competing for greater short term profits and legally but immorally fixing elections to install their puppets into office to serve those minority elites. You see, though the writer does indicate that America, the torch bearer of 'democracy, liberty and freedom' -- all lies by the way -- as America in reality is fast approaching fascism, he fails to explain or challenge existing CORRUPT, failed democratic systems that pretend to real democracies, in fact there are no real FREE representative democracies on the planet, the people, MAJORITY, account for nothing today, they are simply an expendable, easily managed resource. That is fact #1, which was not given the attention it deserved. Fact #2 is that centralist enlightened dictatorships of course are able to compete and beat any chaotic democracy EASILY, as the writer highlights, not that it was a secret, Singapore was the first dictatorship that demonstrated this 'successful' model decades past, whereas western democracies are subject to disruptive periodic elections that guarantee CHAOS and failure in the long term, as western political parties are defined by their ideological opposition to each other, hence we have adversarial dysfunctional governments that stultify what would otherwise be the progress of the national interest.

America, and a host of similar 'democratic' States are now facing this paradigm failure today, they have all been slaughtered at their own game of capitalism, which is not a political system and that distinction should have been emphasised by the author. Any nation could adopt capitalist models, which in fact favour dictatorships, as capitalism is simply exploitation and robbery, profits are NEVER shared fairly and/or appropriately, so who needs it? Well, the Chinese and Americans it appears, as the writer is primarily focused on that model not the secondary political systems that adopt it, which is cause for some concern as the sell is clearly, capitalism under dictatorship rule and that is no accident, as the writer is NOT Chinese as would normally be expected in a propaganda piece, but American. So what is he selling here, possibly a future American dictatorship adhering to a capitalist system that favours, as history dictates, elite ruling minorities as in China?

Now bear in mind there are no ideological democratic nations in existence, which are defined by majority rule. So get over the lie that you live in a democracy, elite minorities have ruled since the dawn of recorded history and they continue to rule today via the same means, deception, lies, coercion and exploitation. What is being sold here are the 'virtues' of a future American capitalist dictatorship. We should note that the writer omitted to mention the by-products to this amazing Chinese 'success', people can't breathe the polluted air in many major Chinese cities and that

commercial interests poison their own infants (baby food formulas) for profit. With that inhuman despicable ethic, one can only wonder why it took so long for China to out-compete and beat America at its own game. And I would add, that elitist, David Rockefeller, before his death, envied the Chinese model and praised it openly, makes you think doesn't it?

I need not pursue the analysis as it could go on for many pages, readers have been drawn to another way of reading/decoding texts -- the point has been made. The article is clearly a propaganda piece written by an American for the interests that stand to benefit from an American capitalist, fascist dictatorship.

To conclude, as this effort is freely given: all texts, narratives and discourse have design, it may serve readers well NEVER to take ANY at face value, always ask why it was written, disseminated and for whom, in other words what is the REAL INTENT/purpose, which no text/discourse is able to hide; only fools are captured by the overt primary layer discourse, there is, as demonstrated here, much more to it than meets the superficial eye.

Have a nice exploited day -- be aware and beware, sheeple.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/50695.htm>

Inverse Times Open Publishing. <http://inversetimes.lingama.net/news/story-375.html>