

## Apply the Pin-prick Test to all Mass Media Propaganda

by neil Tuesday, Aug 28 2018, 7:57pm

international / prose / post

The NYT recently published a story, linked below, intended of course to convince the public there is substance to the 'Russiagate' fabrication/fiction -- Russiagate is repeated incessantly in the media primarily because no proof exists to implicate Russia or any other EXTERNAL party in Clinton's loss to Trump; clearly the Democrats just can't take the truth/reality, Hillary lost because the public detested her and what she stood for, pure and simple.

Now note these quotes from the NYT 'story', "*informants close to President Vladimir V. Putin and in the Kremlin*" who provided "*urgent and explicit warnings about Russia's intentions to try to tip the [2016] American presidential election.*" The noticeable LACK of EVIDENCE to back claims is CLEAR. But at least it's a little better than the "some people say", phrase heard so often on Fox 'news' interviews. Give me a break on SUBSTANCE, not rumour and overt propaganda.

Nonetheless, to quote from an interesting take on the above blurb from the NYT, Paul Craig Roberts [asks](#):

"The NYT did not say why nothing was done by US intelligence which [supposedly] had inside information from the Kremlin itself that Putin was about to steal for Trump the US election. Certainly CIA Director Brennan and FBI Director Comey, both of whom are Hillary's allies, would not have approved of Putin stealing the election for Trump. But there is no criticism from the NY Times' for this massive intelligence failure to act to prevent Putin from [allegedly] stealing the election from Hillary. Brennan and Comey sat on their hands and permitted Putin to steal the election for Trump. So, who is really guilty of "Russiagate?"

To answer the last question. Of course the American mass media is wholly and solely responsible for selling fabricated 'Russiagate' to the American public, as few believe obvious bullshit and propaganda outside the USA. But to answer why intel and regulatory agencies failed to respond is simple, though may prove difficult for media-lobotomised Americans, because there was NOTHING to respond to until Hillary lost, capice?

The point here is that NO substantial EVIDENCE has EVER been PROVIDED to implicate Russia or any other external entity; all the American people are offered is media fabrications and endless repetition of a lie. But as for proof it is rarer than maiden's water.

Now get a grip dreamboats. Learn from Europeans that have been saturated with propaganda for over a century, apply the pin-prick test to all information based on airy fairy claims and nonsense -- if you prick the 'Russiagate' media balloon you would discover nothing but helium. Try it and look for evidence, be assured you won't be able to find anything implicating Russia, so dream on lamers if you accept 'stories' without facts and evidence to back those CLAIMS, not Trumpian 'alternative facts,' (LOL) which are obvious LIES. Have we got it NOW, you simple-minded, gullible American morons?

But it gets better, as the NYTimes is inferring that Russia would somehow hack or interfere with the midterm election results -- ya gotta love this crap -- now I, and the rest of the WORLD would say, NOW'S YOUR chance intel agencies to really catch the phantom Russians RED HANDED hacking the midterm results. I can't wait!

<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/24/us/politics/cia-russia-midterm-elections.html>

---

Inverse Times Open Publishing. <http://inversetimes.lingama.net/news/story-253.html>